

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/816,017	03/31/2004	Byung Eun Yoo	F-8177	F-8177 2616	
28107 7590 06/21/2007 JORDAN AND HAMBURG LLP			EXAMINER		
122 EAST 42N			GILBERT, ANDREW M		
SUITE 4000 NEW YORK, NY 10168			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3767		
,			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	06/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	ς	
10/816,017	YOO, BYUNG EUN		
Examiner	Art Unit	_	
Andrew M. Gilbert	3767		

	Andrew M. Gilbert	3767	•
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 June 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		-	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	· ·	
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	parragnanding number of finally rei	acted claims	•
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of linally rej	ected claims.	
	21. See attached Nation of Nan Ca		(DTOL 224)
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1:		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		4:	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prothe status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an é	explanation of '
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>5</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	A la de la companya d	-4' 6 A 1 - '11	4.4
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
IO. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio		1,11	•
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	110	
l3.			
KEVIN C. SIRM	ONS	the Con	
SUPERVISORY PATENT	EXAMINED	Andrew Gilbert	
$\Omega / \Omega \Lambda$		6/14/2007	•
Merin C. Sur	mon		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant's amendments to the claims raise new issues requiring new search and consideration.

6/14/07